

EFLM scientific research grant evaluation form

General information	
Name of the Applicant	
Affiliation <i>(institution, department, city, country)</i>	
Title of the proposed study	

Statement about the proposed study (Yes / No)	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3
Study design and methods are defined in enough details to evaluate the proposal?			
Study design and methods support study aims and hypothesis?			
This study aims to fill in the existing knowledge gap?			
Is study budget realistic and justified by the aims and methods of the project?			
It is evident that required funds are going to allow completion of the project. <i>(If additional funds are needed, a letter of support from a third party is provided that confirms that the rest of the budget shall be covered by a third party.)</i>			

Note: proposal shall be considered eligible for further evaluation only if the all the above statements are considered true by all three evaluators.

#	Evaluation of the proposed study relevance and importance <i>1 (low) – 10 (high)</i>	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3
1	How innovative is the study aim and/or study methods?			
2	How likely will this study generate some relevant findings in laboratory medicine?			
3	Are findings of this study likely to lead to the improvement of patient outcome, or to reduction of harm and/or waste?			
4	How relevant is previous work of the applicant to the proposed study? <i>(Note: based on the papers listed by the author in the grant application)</i>			
Sum:				
Total:				

maximum number of points = 120

This third section will be evaluated by one evaluator only

#	Evaluation of the Scientific and professional experience of the applicant	Points
1	Poster abstracts at national meetings (1-3: 1 point; >3: 2 points)	
2	Poster abstracts at international meetings (1-3: 1 point; >3: 2 points)	
3	Speaker at national events or seminar (1-3: 1 point; >3: 2 points)	
4	Speaker at international events or seminar (1-3: 1 point; >3: 2 points)	
5	Papers in scientific journals with international peer review (1-3: 1 point; >3: 2 points)	
6	Awards (0.25 points per award)	
Sum:		

*maximum number of points =
10 + 0,25 x each award*

Please enter points (allocated above) in the table below and add up a total sum of points for this applicant.

Category	Points
Evaluation of the proposed study relevance and importance (Note: maximum total number of points = 120)	
Evaluation of the Scientific and professional experience of the applicant (Note: maximum total number of points = 10 + 0,25 per each award)	
Total number of points:	